INTRODUCTION

Single-ply roofing has grown significantly since the introduction of ethylene propylene diene monomer (EPDM) in the early 1960s. With the later additions of polyvinyl chloride (PVC) and thermoplastic polyolefin (TPO), the single-ply market has now grown to over 2 billion sq. ft. annually, of which thermoplastics represent over 70%.

Multi-ply systems (built-up roofing [BUR] and modified bitumen [mod-bit]) continue to play a role and have a number of advantages for certain situations. With their narrower and shorter rolls, multi-ply systems can be applied to smaller roofs or those roofs with many penetrations.

Single-ply membranes do not have the advantages of a hard exterior surface or redundant layers, which are normally inherent in multi-ply systems. These membranes are smooth and do not have granule or sand finishes. Therefore, single plies can be perceived as being less resistant to puncture by sharp objects. To allay such fears, one company has developed a small demonstration to show that its membrane is “puncture-resistant.”

This paper discusses what is meant by puncture resistance and compares the performance of various types of weldable single-ply membranes.

PUNCTURE RESISTANCE

The term “puncture resistance” is sometimes used fairly loosely and can be interpreted several ways, such as resistance to...
sharp vs. blunt objects, fast vs. slow impact, etc. However, as will be shown later, there is a significant difference between a sharp, pointed tool such as a heavy screwdriver falling from 5 or 6 ft., point down, versus a sharp piece of gravel being pushed into a membrane surface by someone slowly stepping on it. Plastic materials such as PVC and TPO membranes behave quite differently when impacted at high versus low speeds.

During installation, punctures occur most frequently due to falling sharp objects such as screwdrivers and from workers stepping onto sharp objects such as stones. Once installed and completed, membranes can still be punctured in the same ways if people are accessing the roof to repair equipment (e.g., air conditioners).

Hail involves impact at high speeds, but with a large-diameter, fairly blunt projectile. In this study, we examined puncture resistance using objects that were pointed. Therefore, this work does not apply to hail resistance.

**LOW-SPEED IMPACT TESTING**

An example of a low-impact speed test is ASTM D4833, *Standard Test Method for Index Puncture Resistance of Geomembranes and Related Products*. The test material is constrained around the edges of a 1.77-in. (45-mm) diameter circle, as shown in Figure 1.

A probe, shown in Figure 2, is pushed into the center at a rate of 12 in./minute.

It is important to recognize that the membrane sample—even though it is constrained around the perimeter—is frequently tested without support. Therefore, the relationship to real-world performance is not clear, although many suppliers publish puncture-resistance data using ASTM D4833. In this work, we included the effect of backing the membrane with some common roofing substrates.

A range of commercial membranes and substrates were collected and tested. Sample failure was defined as the force required to break the top membrane layer such that the scrim was exposed. The data are shown graphically in Figure 3.

The TPO membranes shown represent all major manufacturers. The 45-, 60-, and 80-mil (1.1-, 1.5-, and 2.0-mm) TPO samples showed a progressive increase in resistance with thickness. While the scrim provides much of the resistance, polymer thickness also contributes to strength. The smooth 60-mil (1.5-mm) membranes are all very comparable, with an average maximum puncture load of 132 lbf. The fleece-backed sample is notably more resistant. The 50-mil (1.3-mm) PVC samples show more variation among the three manufacturers tested, but their average maximum puncture load was 154 lbf. The 36-mil (0.9-mm) PVC, made with a far denser scrim, is stronger, showing the importance of scrim in a low-speed test of unsupported material. It clearly supports the view that physical strength of the scrim is more important than that of the polymer layers.

The supported membranes were all loose-laid, but note that the specimens were constrained by the device clamp (Figure 1). An evaluation of different fastening methods
was beyond the scope of this work. However, it is believed that both solvent- and water-based adhesives are too thin to provide for any mechanical resistance. The TPO sample, tested over regular 2-in. isocyanurate (iso) insulation (ASTM C1289, Type II, Class 1), is essentially identical to the same sample unsupported. However, the high-density iso cover board (ASTM C1289, Type II, Class 4) improved on that performance, and the gypsum board improved even more so. This suggests that, at least in the case of slow-speed penetration with a pointed object, cover boards do provide some increased resistance to low-speed puncture. Also, the higher the compressive strength, the higher the puncture resistance will be.

HIGH-SPEED IMPACT TESTING

As stated at the beginning of this article, it is a falling pointed object, such as a screwdriver, that frequently punctures membranes during installation, necessitating a repair. Also, during the installation of mechanical equipment, access panels and other objects with sharp corners and edges occasionally get dropped. For this work, the
standard test method for impact resistance of rigid PVC building products (ASTM D4226) was modified, where the impactor had a Phillips #2 screwdriver head instead of the regular dome-shaped surface (Figure 4). The impactor was placed on the membrane, and a tube of a certain known weight was dropped on the impactor (Figure 5). The energy required to puncture the membrane was calculated from the weight of the tube and the height from which the tube was dropped. All the values reported are for complete puncture—i.e., puncture through the cap, scrim, and core. The samples were tested, both sup-

Figure 6 – Drop impact resistance of thermoplastic roof membranes; impact energy, kJ, required for penetration using the Phillips screwdriver tip.
port and unsupported. For the unsupported samples, a support base, which was a hollow cylinder with an internal diameter of 0.64 in., was used. For samples with backing substrates, the supporting base was removed.

Figure 6 shows the impact energy required to penetrate the samples using the Phillips #2 tip.

For the TPO membranes, the data clearly indicate that thicker membranes have substantially more puncture resistance. As with the slow-impact speed test, very little difference exists among membranes of the same thickness from various manufacturers.

The drop impact results show that compared with unsupported membrane, iso insulation does offer about a 14% improvement, while high-density iso cover board gives a 50% improvement, both for 60-mil TPOs. Gypsum cover board provides a substantial 100% improvement versus unsupported membrane.

In contrast to the slow-speed data, the high-speed results show that the 50-mil PVC samples are less puncture-resistant than 45-mil TPO. Particularly surprising is the poor result for the 36-mil PVC sample. This suggests that the dense scrim used in that membrane does not contribute to resistance to a falling sharp object versus a standard scrim. By comparing the membrane results, it appears that the overall thickness is critical. As with the TPO results, supported PVC is significantly more puncture-resistant. However, the impact energy at failure is lower than for TPO. This is not surprising, given the softer, more flexible nature of PVC membranes.

CONCLUSIONS
1. Membranes can be punctured both by high-speed impact with sharp objects (such as a falling screwdriver) or low-speed penetration (such as stepping slowly onto a sharp object resting on the surface of the membrane).
   a. A membrane that performs well from a low-speed impact does not necessarily do well in a high-speed impact.
   b. At low-speed impact, PVC membranes perform slightly better than TPO, but likely not well enough to make a marked difference in repair effort needed during membrane installation.

2. To falling sharp objects, TPO does outperform PVC.
   a. More rigid backing, such as gypsum or high-density iso boards, significantly improves resistance to falling sharp objects.
   b. Thicker membranes give significantly higher puncture resistance.
3. Low-speed, hand-held demonstrations purporting to show high puncture resistance can be misleading. A membrane that appears to perform well in a marketing test may perform poorly in an approved test method using high-speed impact.
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NEW SYNTHEtic ROOF UNDERLayment GROUP IS FORMED

The Synthetic Roof Underlayment Institute (SRUI) has been formed to improve and develop industry standards; to educate roofing manufacturers, contractors, and industry associations; and to promote the use of synthetic roof underlayments.

The founding directors are: Sal Catanese, Underlayment Specialties Plus; Mickey Gay, Perma “R” Products Inc.; Bruce O’Neil, System Components Corp.; Gary H. Schinning, InterWrap; and Mark Strait, Kirsch Building Products. For more information, contact Executive Director Jeff Henry at 847-375-6402 or jhenry@srui.org.

Union Roofer’s Wages Rise

Hourly wages for roofers who are union members rose 3.1% overall in the year ending September 2014, according to ENR Construction, which surveyed 20 cities. The average rate of such workers was $44.99/hour. Rates ranged from a low of $21.78 (an 8.3% increase) in Memphis, TN, to $62.13 (a 6.3% increase) in Boston, MA.

— ENR